Spectral Sparsification of Metrics and Kernels

> Kent Quanrud Purdue University





nodes = points edges = distances (or some function of)

=> many natural graph problems goal: Õ(input size)=Õ(n) time (n=#points)

Obvious bottleneck: graph dense, size O(n²) (no time to construct graph)

e.g. degrees graph metric what is weighted degree of v? what is sum of distances From v to all other vertices? "trivial problem in graphs * sublinear time approximations in metrics Indyk '99; Chechik, Cohen, Kaplan 15; Esfandiari & Mitzenmacher 18 Replacing metric w/ <u>kernel</u> Sunction K (e.g. $K(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1+1|x-y||^2)}$ => "density estimation" Low-dimensions: Greengard & Rokhlin Jr., m High-dimensions: Holmes, Gray, & Isbell Jr. '07 Siminelakis & Charikar 17, Backurs et al., m

Other natural problems (e.g.) Max cut [I97, EM18 for metrics] total sum of distances [I97, CCK15, EM18 min-cut? sparsest cut? graph embeddings?

Broad question: Can we approximate geometric graphs in $\widetilde{O}(n)$ time? (in some general sense) in ô(n) time? Inspiration: Spanners in low-dimensional IRd Sparse subgraphs where shortest path metric approximates original metric (Salowe '91, Vaidya '91, Callahan & Kosaraju '92)

What about other structures?

The Laplacian Quadratic Sorm/PSD matrix LG associated w/ graph G=(V,E) $\langle \chi, L_G \chi \rangle = \sum_{\substack{e \in E \\ e= \pm u, v \\ \\ e \in E \\ e= \pm u, v \\ \\ (w(e) = weight of e)}$

·Encodes all cuts

• eigenvectors > embeddings

spectral clustering graph partitioning
 see (eg.) notes by Spielman, Trevisan

Sparsifying Laplacians Input: graph G w/ Laplacian LG (Sewer edges) Goal: smaller graph H w/ Laplacian Ly st.

 $.99 \langle x, L_G x \rangle \leq \langle x, L_H x \rangle \leq 1.01 \langle x, L_G x \rangle$ (I-E)
(HE)
Sor all x

Edges in H Time Spielman & Teng '04 O(n polylog(n)) Ö(m) Spielman & Srivastava '08 O(n log(n)) Ö(m) Batson, Spielman & Srivastava '09 O(n) O(poly(m)) Lee & Sun'17 O(n) Õ(m)

+ many more related results

Geometric graphs & Laplacians The Laplacian of any geometric graph can be approximated w/ Ö(n) edges But O(m) time graph algorithm => Õ(n²) time geometric algorithm Question Can we approximate geometric Laplacians in Ö(n) time? Primary contribution: Yes! for metrics & smooth kernels (more detailed bounds later) High level approach: () Quick (and sometimes simple) randomized estimates of effective resistance by sampling (2) importance sample edges w/r/t essective resistances (per Spielman-Srivastava) Related independent work

"Algorithms and Hardness Sor Linear Algebra and Geometric Graphs"

by Alman, Chu, Schild, & Song

Geometric graphs & Laplacians The Laplacian of any geometric graph can be approximated w/ Ö(n) edges But O(m) time graph algorithm \Rightarrow $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time geometric algorithm Question Can we approximate geometric Laplacians in Ö(n) time? Primary contribution: Yes! for metrics & smooth kernels (more detailed bounds later) High level approach: () Quick (and sometimes simple) randomized estimates of effective resistance by sampling (2) importance sample edges w/r/t essective resistances (per Spielman-Srivastava)

Essective Resistance: a brief primer for edge e= {u, v}, let Le = Laplacian of (only) edge e e.g. $\langle x, L_e x \rangle = (x_u - x_v)^2$ Sor graph G=(V,E), $L_{G} = \sum_{e \in F} w(e) L_{e}$ Effective resistance measures importance of Le (eff. resist. e) = $\max_{\chi} \frac{\langle \chi, L_{e}\chi \rangle}{\langle \chi, L_{G}\chi \rangle}$ Given effective resistances, one can importance sample edges eEE in proportion (weight e) · (ess. resist. e) * to obtain spectral sparsifier. * upper bounds, approximations suffice.

Metrics

A theorem, and a simple algorithm

Theorem. G=(V,E) wedge weights d: E>R20 forming a metric Let D=2'd(e) = total sum of distances. Then for all eEE, $(effective resistance of e) \leq \frac{O(1)n}{D}$ Now, suppose we use upper bound) when sampling: (a) uniformity => sampling proportional to edge lengths (6) the Sact that $\sum_{e \in E} d(e) \cdot \frac{n}{D} = n \sum_{e \in E} \frac{d(e)}{D} = n$ => the upper bound is tight enough to produce sparse graphs.

Given theorem, remains to estimate edge lengths for sampling. Crude upper bounds suffice.

- Mitzenmacher & Esfandiari subroutine gives
 estimates W/ O(nlog²n) queries
- we provide simpler alternative w/ O(n log n) queries:
 - O uniformly sample & query O(nlogn) edges
 - Sum up, for each vertex, the lengths of incident edges that were sampled
 Sor each edge, use sum of endpoints (divided by logn) as estimate

easy to sample w/ these estimates

A simple algorithm (in hindsight)
D uniformly sample edges to get weak estimates on edge lengths
(a) Importance sample O(nlog(n)/E²) edges w/r/t estimates.

Key point: Theorem about eff. resist. permits simple sampling

Smooth Kernels in \mathbb{R}^d (simplifying) K(x,y) decaying polynomially in Ilx-yll e.g. $K(x,y) = \frac{1}{1+1|x-y|l}$ "1-smooth" $K(x,y) = \frac{1}{1+1|x-y|l^2}$ "2-smooth"

Classically, in low dimensions, fast multipole method Recent interest in high dimensions from ML.



Algorithmic consequences
() O(logn) random rankings
=> upper bounds w/ high probability
(2) the Sact that

$$\sum_{x,y}^{1} \frac{1}{|rank(x)-rank(y)|} \leq O(n \log n)$$

=> upper bounds tight enough to
produce sparse graph
Thus the algorithm is:
(a) project O(logn) times => O(log n) rankings
(b) importance sample O(n log n) edges
in proportion to
 $\sum_{i=1}^{O(logn)} \frac{1}{|rank(x)-ranki(y)|}$
Sor edge $e = ix, y$.



Byproduct: density queries · Density queries correspond to weighted degrees · Preserving entire Laplacian is overkill · But by skipping unneeded steps => data structure competitive w/ (in Sact, slightly improving) state-of-the-art (Backurs et al.)